


The Carlisle District Local Plan 2015-2030

Proposed Submission Draft Consultation Representation Form



Images courtesy of Andrew Paterson, D&H Photographers and Jason Friend

INSTRUCTIONS

Before you start, you are advised to read the Guidance Note published separately alongside this form.

Please note all representations must be received by no later than Monday **20**th **April 2015**. There are no guarantees that any representations received after this deadline can be accepted.

For all representations parts one and two of this form should be completed. Should you wish to make more than one representation, please fill in and submit a separate form for each.

A copy of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan and all supporting documentation is available to view at www.carlisle.gov.uk/localplan

How to respond -

Via email: lpc@carlisle.gov.uk

In writing: Investment and Policy

Carlisle City Council

Civic Centre

Carlisle Cumbria CA3 8QG

To find out more Call: 01228 817569

PART ONE- YOUR DETAILS

It is important that you fill in your contact details below; we cannot register your representation without your details. Please note that we will not be able to keep your representation or personal details confidential. We may also wish to contact you to clarify your representation.

In circumstances where there are individuals/ groups/ organisations who share a similar view on the plan, it would be helpful if individuals/ groups/ organisations make a single representation. It would also be useful if the group/organisation state how many people the submission is representing and how the representation was authorised.

Your Details	Your Agent's Details (If applicable)
Title: Mr	Title: Ms
Surname:Livingstone	Surname:Diamond
Forename:	Forename:Julie
Organisation/Company:	Organisation/Company:Taylor and Hardy Ltd
Address:	Address:North House, Kingstown, Carlisle
Postcode:	Postcode:CA6 4BY
Contact No:	Contact No:01228 538886
Email:	Email:julie.planners@taylorandhardy.co.uk
Signature: Julie Diamond	
Date:17/04/15	
☐ Please indicate if you wish to be up	dated on the progress of the Local Plan

PART TWO - YOUR REPRESENTATION

Please use a separate form for each part of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan that you wish to comment on.

Policy		
to: HO1 & Appendix 1 (Sites Allocated under HO1) Q2. Do you consider that the Local Plan is: Legally Compliant? Yes No Sound? Yes Yes, with minor No changes Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is unsound, is it because it is not: Positively Prepared? Justified? Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
Q2. Do you consider that the Local Plan is: Legally Compliant? Yes No Sound? Yes Yes, with minor No changes Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is unsound, is it because it is not: Positively Prepared? Justified? Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
Q2. Do you consider that the Local Plan is: Legally Compliant? Yes No Sound? Yes Yes, with minor No changes Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is unsound, is it because it is not: Positively Prepared? Justified? Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
Legally Compliant? Yes No Sound? Yes Yes, with minor No changes Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is unsound, is it because it is not: Positively Prepared? Justified? Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
Legally Compliant? Yes No Sound? Yes Yes, with minor No changes Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is unsound, is it because it is not: Positively Prepared? Justified? Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
Yes No Sound? Yes, with minor No changes Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is unsound, is it because it is not: Positively Prepared? Justified? Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
Sound? Yes Yes, with minor No changes Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is unsound, is it because it is not: Positively Prepared? Justified? Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
Yes Yes, with minor No changes Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is unsound, is it because it is not: Positively Prepared? Justified? Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
changes Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is unsound, is it because it is not: Positively Prepared? Justified? Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
Q3. If you consider the Local Plan is <u>unsound</u> , is it because it is <u>not</u> : ☐ Positively Prepared? ☐ Justified? ☐ Effective? ☐ Consistent with National Policy?		
 ☑ Positively Prepared? ☑ Justified? ☐ Effective? ☐ Consistent with National Policy? 		
 ☑ Positively Prepared? ☑ Justified? ☐ Effective? ☐ Consistent with National Policy? 		
✓ Justified?☐ Effective?☐ Consistent with National Policy?		
Effective? Consistent with National Policy?		
Consistent with National Policy?		
·		
Q4. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally		
compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.		
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan,		
please also use this box to set out your representation.		
Please note that your representation should cover succinctly all the information,		
evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the		
representation. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of		
the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.		
I wish to object to Policy HO1 and Appendix 1 (Sites Allocated under Policy HO1)		
due to the exclusion of my client's land as a suitable site for residential		
development.		
The land the subject of this objection is located in the village of Wetheral and is		
identified on the plan enclosed.		
admined on the plan enclosed.		
The Carlisle Strategic Housing Market Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) has		
The Carlisle Strategic Housing Market Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) has		
The Carlisle Strategic Housing Market Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) has highlighted the suitability of this site for residential development, categorising the		

"This is a large greenfield site on the northern edge of Wetheral. It is well related to the settlement and could provide a logical extension to the village..."

The Carlisle District Local Plan Preferred Options (Stage 2) consultation goes on to discount my clients site on the basis of scale.

Wetheral is identified by Carlisle City Council in the Emerging Local Plan and the Carlisle Rural Masterplan as a large sustainable village capable of accommodating a greater level of development. The settlement benefits from a number of services including a railway station, bus service, village green, public houses, village hall, village shop and post office, restaurant and hotel.

In their previous representation to the Council, my client was clear that whilst the full extent of the their ownership was identified, it was not proposed that all of the site should be developed. More importantly, it was suggested that the extent of the land available would enable the site to be developed in a way that was sensitive to the landscape character.

It is to be noted that the sites which have been identified by the Council in Wetheral as Preferred Options are all located to the south of the village, which would create an imbalance in the built form of the settlement. The inclusion of my client's land would provide a more spatially balanced approached to the distribution of growth within Wetheral, which it is accepted can accommodate a larger level of development.

In the context of all matters addressed above, my client considers that the exclusion of their site in the Site Allocation process is unjustified and that the Council has failed to 'plan positively' for the development and infrastructure required in this area.

Q5. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q3 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:
Q6. Do you wish to make any comments on the supporting documents, such as the Sustainability Appraisal, Habitats Regulations Assessment, Infrastructure Delivery Plan or evidence base?

Q7. If your representation is seeking a change; do you consider it necessary
to participate in the hearing sessions of the examination?
No, I do not wish to participate at the hearing sessions of the
examination
☐ Yes, I wish to participate at the hearing sessions of the examination
Q8. If you wish to participate, please outline why you consider this to be
necessary:
Please note it will be at the discretion of the Inspector to determine the content of the hearing sessions and who will be heard.
Content of the hearing sessions and who will be heard.

Thank you for your time to complete and return this Representation form. Please keep a copy for future reference.

