

Respondent	Comments
Acting Returning Officer for Barrow in Furness Constituency	Thank you for sharing the proposals and for the opportunity to comment on the polling district and polling place review for the Barrow in Furness Constituency. Having reviewed the information provided, we can confirm that we have no concerns or issues to raise regarding the proposals.
Local councillor for Longtown Ward	<p>(a) I am happy to support the retention of the status quo in Bewcastle, Solport and Nicholforest parishes. All good Pds and polling stations.</p> <p>(b) Happy to support the retention of Moat village hall as a polling station, and Longtown Community Centre, and the Rockcliffe Village centre - all good accessible buildings.</p> <p>(c) Happy to support the sensible merger of polling districts in Kirkandrews on Esk, Arthuret and Rockcliffe parishes.</p> <p>(d) I would very much support the move of the polling station for the Harker polling district(s) in Rockcliffe Parish to Laings Coffee shop on the A7. The current (recent) arrangement for voting in Cringledyke is not a good option and I think would reduce turnout.</p> <p>(e) I think the suggestion of moving the polling station for Westlinton Parish from the Lynebank Hotel to the Laings Coffee shop is a sensible one. Much of the population of Westlinton is actually closer to Laings than Lynebank, and also parking is significantly easier at Laings than it is at Lynebank.</p>

Egremont Town Council	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to respond regarding the Polling Place Review.</p> <p>The Town Council comments are in regard to the use of the Masonic Hall, Bookwell in Egremont. The Masonic Hall building itself would be defined as accessible, however getting to the grounds that the hall is situated in is difficult. There is very limited car parking space outside of the hall; approximately room for 2/3 cars. It is also debateable if anyone in a manual wheelchair would be able to get to the hall, even if they could get their car into one of the few spaces, the parking is on a ramp making it problematic.</p> <p>The Masonic Hall is located on a busy road where parking is minimal and again if voters could park along the road it would still be a struggle to access the hall. Feasible alternatives would be Bookwell School or St Marys Church Hall which is approximately 3 minutes' walk from the Masonic Hall.</p> <p>The photograph attached is not the best, however, you can see some of the gradient and the limited parking area.</p>
MP for Whitehaven & Workington Constituency	<p>Thank you for alerting me to the interim polling place review. I have consulted with councillors and with Whitehaven & Workington Labour Party and we have the following suggestions for consideration:</p> <p>Moving the polling place for residents on Edgehill Park in Whitehaven to Greenbank Community Centre is a poor decision. The two communities are not connected and it will likely discourage residents on Edgehill Park from voting. We would like a mobile unit placed on Edgehill Park. Residents on the Highlands estate and Harras Moor in Whitehaven have to travel to St. James' Primary School, which is not ideal. Again, we would like to recommend placing a mobile unit in the area to make it much more accessible for residents in the area to vote. We hope these changes can be made to the plans.</p>

Martyport Town Council	<p>Good morning Lee, following on from the email below and the Polling Place Review, members at Maryport Town Council would like you to re-introduce a polling station at the Ellenborough Social Club/Reading Rooms in Ellenborough as a lot of the elderly would not be able to manage the hill to All Souls Church, also as an option for those who live on the Whitecroft estate an option to vote at Grasslot Welfare Hall rather than having to take transport to Flimby in line with previous arrangements.</p>
Stanwix Rural Parish Council	<p>Having undertaken a detailed examination of the proposals, the Parish Council does not presently identify sufficient grounds to object to the proposed polling district changes. That conclusion should not, however, be interpreted as endorsement of the consultation process or of the manner in which the proposals have been presented. The Parish Council has significant concerns regarding the clarity and accessibility of the consultation material, apparent inconsistencies within the proposals, the intelligibility of the accompanying mapping and the limited information provided to explain the selection of some proposed polling places.</p>

<p>Workington Labour Party</p>	<p>In general we are content with the draft proposals but would suggest the following minor amendments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Move 4a to 8 Stainburn Road into WKS4 from WKS5 as now in Old Town Parish ward (west of bypass) b) Suggest that boundary between HAR4 and HAR6 should follow the Line (cycleway) for clear definition c) Suggest that boundary between SEA1 and SEA2 should be the Line (cycleway) for clear definition d) Suggest the southern boundary of WKP4 should be the middle of Oxford St – Station Road; WKP3 should be west of the railway only, with the remainder of WKP3 merged with WKP5 (south of Station Road – Oxford St); Fleet St and Vulcans Lane 29-73 (odd) should move from WKP6 to WKP7 e) 87 Wetheriggs Rd and 1&3 Wordsworth View should indeed be in HAR4 as proposed but we believe are in Salterbeck South parish ward. f) a caravan or similar should be used as a polling station for WKS5 <p>Separately, in the LGBCE review, we missed the Commission proposal to put Ashmore Gardens into Westfield North parish ward when it should be in Westfield South. Sorry. Too late now. Its one for the next review.</p>
--------------------------------	--